

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. ADIV-1790-AU 06/13/2001 3325 09/882,630 Gary R. Dulak EXAMINER 7590 07/11/2005 Applied Medical Resources Corporation SIRMONS, KEVIN C 22872 Avenida Empresa PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688 3763

DATE MAILED: 07/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					(111)
-		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	,
		09/882,6	80	DULAK ET AL.	
Offic	e Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit	
		Kevin C. S		3763	
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this communica	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON' - If the period for reportal in NO period for reportant in NO period for report	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, may be available under the provisions of THS from the mailing date of this commun bly specified above is less than thirty (30) of bly is specified above, the maximum statul hin the set or extended period for reply will by the Office later than three months after adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev ication. days, a reply within the stat lory period will apply and w I, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) day: Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1)⊠ Respons	ive to communication(s) filed	on <u>13 June 2001</u> .			
2a) ☐ This action	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Cla	iims				
4)					
Application Paper	rs				
9) The spec	ification is objected to by the I	Examiner.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	may not request that any objection				
· •	ent drawing sheet(s) including the or declaration is objected to be				
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
	nces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	0-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
	osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:)-15 2)

Application/Control Number: 09/882,630 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-9 and 15-20, drawn to a ureteral access sheath, classified in class 604, subclass 523.

II. Claims 10-14, 21 and 22, drawn to a method for inserting a medical instrument into a urethra, classified in class 604, subclass 500.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as inserting a medical instrument into the rectum.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I Figs. 1-5 Species II Fig. 6 Species III Figs. 7-9

Species IV Figs. 10&11 Species V Fig. 12

Application/Control Number: 09/882,630

Art Unit: 3763

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, some claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Kenneth K. Vu on 6/28/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/882,630

Art Unit: 3763

Page 4

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin C. Sirmons whose telephone number is 571-272-4965. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:00 ALT FRI.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin C. Sirmons can be reached on 571-272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin C. Sirmons Primary Examiner Art Unit 3763

Keiri C. Surrous